

Message

From: Strauss, Linda [Strauss.Linda@epa.gov]
Sent: 10/9/2018 12:12:14 PM
To: Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Morris, Jeff [Morris.Jeff@epa.gov]; Henry, Tala [Henry.Tala@epa.gov]
CC: Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]; Baptist, Erik [Baptist.Erik@epa.gov]; Dunton, Cheryl [Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov]; Pierce, Alison [Pierce.Alison@epa.gov]; Ortiz, Julia [Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov]
Subject: Q from Nancy Beck - Re: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Moving this to the top of in-boxes. Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 5, 2018, at 2:45 PM, Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov> wrote:

+ Jeff and Tala

From: Beck, Nancy
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2018 2:44 PM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
P **Personal Phone / Ex. 6**
beck.nancy@epa.gov

On Oct 5, 2018, at 1:17 PM, Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov> wrote:

Julia, thanks. Nancy, your thoughts?

From: Ortiz, Julia
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2018 1:15 PM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2018 11:13 AM
To: Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>
Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>;

Scheifele, Hans <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Importance: High

Julia?

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Friday, October 05, 2018 11:00 AM

To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>

Cc: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Is the year by year breakdown all publicly available information?

Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: **Personal Phone / Ex. 6**

beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Strauss, Linda

Sent: Thursday, October 4, 2018 9:59 AM

To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>

Cc: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

FYI, reporter pinging OPA (and OPA pinging me).

From: Strauss, Linda

Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 1:45 PM

To: Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Henry, Tala <Henry.Tala@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <baptist.erik@epa.gov>; Parsons, Doug <Parsons.Douglas@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Ortiz, Julia
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 7:04 PM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Parsons, Doug <Parsons.Douglas@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 1, 2018, at 5:40 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Thanks.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273
M: [Personal Phone / Ex. 6](tel:2025641273)
beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Monday, October 1, 2018 1:22 PM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Parsons, Doug <Parsons.Douglas@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Nancy/Charlotte/Erik, sorry if Doug already passed on to you on Friday. Let us know if you have edits about the below response. Thanks.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

2) During what period of time were the 907 PFAS you mention received and reviewed by the New Chemicals Program? Can you please break them down by year?

in

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

EOD is fine, she says.

She added this one:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 9:02 AM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

All, she added two questions.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 5:38 PM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
Subject: ACTION: The Intercept re follow-up on PFAS

Hi All, the reporter — Sharon Lerner — has returned with follow-up that is due at noon on Sept. 21.

DDL is noon on 9/21.

1) I know that the chemical inventory has been in the process of being updated. Do these numbers reflect the fully updated inventory? Or is that process still underway and thus could these numbers soon be bigger once the inventory is completed?

2) During what period of time were the 907 PFAS you mention received and reviewed by the New Chemicals Program? Can you please break them down by year?

3) If I'm understanding you correctly, there are 672 PFAS on the inventory that did not come through the PMN process. Am I right to assume that that means that they entered the inventory through low volume exemptions or some other exemptions? If not, how did they get on the inventory? And can I get a breakdown by year of when those PFAS entered the inventory?

From: "Strauss, Linda" <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Date: August 14, 2018 at 8:05:52 AM EDT
To: "Daguillard, Robert" <Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov>, "Jones, Enesta" <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
Cc: "Ortiz, Julia" <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>, "Pierce, Alison" <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>, "Dunton, Cheryl" <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>, "Parsons, Doug" <Parsons.Douglas@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION: The Intercept re PFAS

And this one.

RESPONSE

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2018 11:15 AM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Enesta <Jones.Enesta@epa.gov>
Subject: ACTION: The Intercept re PFAS

All,

Reporter: Sharon Lerner
DDL: 8/10, noon

On EPA's website --<https://www.epa.gov/pfas/pfas-laws-and-regulations> — it says that there are 478 PFAS on the TSCA Inventory (though the inventory is still “interim” due to inventory reset), 294 of which have been added to the inventory since 2006.

In particular, it says: **330** non-CBI PFAS are listed on the Interim Updated TSCA Inventory. In addition, **148** CBI PFAS are reported.

But at the recent PFAS Summit, Jeff Morris, director of OPPT, reported that “[n]early 900 new PFAS chemicals have come through EPA's TSCA program since 2006.” <https://www.epa.gov/pfas/pfas-national-leadership-summit-materials>

Can you please help me understand this discrepancy? In particular, he says that 900 new PFAS “came through” the TSCA program. Yet the website indicates that only

294 PFAS have been added to the inventory since 2006. What happened to the other 606 PFAS that “came through” the program if they weren’t added to the TSCA inventory? Were they exempted for some reason? Did EPA refuse to approve them for distribution in commerce?